Fraction of maximum breathing capacity available for prolonged hyperventilation¹

GIAN PAOLO ZOCCHE, HARRY W. FRITTS, JR. AND ANDRE COURNAND

Department of Medicine, Columbia University, College of Physicians and Surgeons; and Cardio-Pulmonary Laboratory, First Medical and Chest Services (Columbia University Division), Bellevue Hospital, New York City

ZOCCHE, GIAN PAOLO, HARRY W. FRITTS, JR. AND ANDRE COURNAND. Fraction of maximum breathing capacity available for prolonged hyperventilation. J. Appl. Physiol. 15(6): 1073-1074. 1960.—The volume of ventilation which nine healthy young men could maintain for 15 minutes was only 53% of the maximum breathing capacity (MBC). A similar figure was obtained for older patients with chronic pulmonary emphysema. These data suggest that the MBC is not the limiting response to be expected from stimuli such as hypoxia or hypercapnia, and that the capacity of a patient for maintaining an augmented minute volume is an important determinant of the responses these stimuli evoke.

While the MAXIMUM breathing capacity (MBC) measures the maximal rate at which air can be mobilized, it does not necessarily indicate the ventilation which a subect will achieve when stimuli such as hypoxia or hypercapnia are applied. This may be understood by considering the dissimilarity of the two procedures; whereas the MBC is measured during a period of only 12 seconds, the response to a stimulus is customarily measured between the 15th and 20th minutes of the augmented ventilation which the stimulus provokes. Of the several factors influencing both measurements, fatigue is of particular importance because its role becomes greater as the expenditure of effort is prolonged. Hence, the MBC should exceed the ventilation evoked by hypoxia or hypercapnia (1), a point which Eldridge and Davis have recently emphasized (2).

The present study was designed to investigate the relation between the MBC and the maximum volume of ventilation (HV) which a man can voluntarily maintain over a prolonged period of time. For the sake of contrast, we compared the values obtained in young healthy adults with those recorded in older patients with advanced pulmonary emphysema.

Received for publication May 27, 1960.

METHODS

Several days prior to the study the subject underwent a period of training in which he practiced both the MBC and the HV. Before performing the latter, he was told to choose the maximal level of ventilation which he thought he could maintain for 15 minutes. A few trials usually sufficed for the selection of this level; once chosen, the level generally corresponded to that selected on a second practice day.

On the morning of the study, the subject came to the laboratory and rested quietly for 20 minutes before the experimental procedure was begun. The protocol em-

TABLE 1. Comparison of MBC and HV in Normal Subjects and Patients With Chronic Pulmonary Emphysema

| Subj. Age | MBC 1 | MBC 2 | HV | MBC 3 | MBC 4 | HV MBC×1∞° | |
|--------------|--------|------------|----------|---------|--------|---------------|--|
| | l/min. | - l/min. | l/min. | l/min. | l/min. | % | |
| ** | | Norma | l Subjec | ts | •1 | , | |
| 1, 22, | 149 | 140 | 77 | 123 | 112 | 53 | |
| 2, 24 | 192 | 178 | 114 | 166 | 172 | 62 | |
| 3, 24 | 163 | 146 | 60 | 104 | 132 | 39 | |
| 4, 24 | 164 | 167 | 82 | 120 | 131 | 50 | |
| 5, 28 | 159 | 162 | 90 | 117 | 128 | 56 | |
| 6, 29 | 176 | 185 | 88 | 154 | 159 | 49 | |
| 7, 31 | 192 | 206 | 137 | 181 | 173 | 69 | |
| 8, 35 | 156 | 159 | 90 | 165 | 139 | 57 | |
| 9, 39 | 175 | 175 | 80 | 164 | 169 | 46 | |
| Mean 28 | 169 | 169 | 91 | 144 | 146 | 53 | |
| | Patien | ts With Pu | lmonary | Emphyse | ema | | |
| 1, 49 | 22 | 25 | 17 | 1 | 22 | 72 | |
| 2, 49 | 22 | 28 | 13 | 25 | 31 | 52 | |
| 3, 67 | 25 | 28 | 19 | 24 | 22 | 72 | |
| 4, 68 | 28 | 25 | 18 | | 22 | 68 | |
| 5, 71 | 39 | 34 | 14 | i | 45 | 38 | |
| 6, 72 | 28 | 31 | 28 | | 33 | 95 | |
| 7, 39 | 36 | 45 | 17 | 36 | 35 | 42 | |
| 8, 69 | 28 | 28 | 10 | 25 | 25 | 36 | |
| Mean 61 | 29 | 31 | 17 | | 29 | 59 | |

^{*} The MBC used in this calculation is mean of 1st and 2nd measurements.

¹ This investigation was supported by Research Grant H-2001 (C) from the National Heart Institute of the National Institutes of Health.